Message

From: Reilly, WilliamJ [Reilly.WilliamJ@epa.gov]

Sent: 7/22/2020 3:15:19 PM

To: Flanagan, Sarah [Flanagan.Sarah@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: URGENT: Please Review Riverside Industrial Park PRAP Press Release

I am on the call now.

From: Flanagan, Sarah <Flanagan.Sarah@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, July 22, 2020 11:13 AM **To:** Reilly, WilliamJ < Reilly.WilliamJ@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: URGENT: Please Review Riverside Industrial Park PRAP Press Release

Dunno, but Michael just called to say that he and Josh are on the skype meeting, so we could call into that and talk through these edits with them now. Want to do that?

From: Reilly, WilliamJ < Reilly. WilliamJ@epa.gov > Sent: Wednesday, July 22, 2020 11:11 AM
To: Flanagan, Sarah < Flanagan. Sarah@epa.gov >

Subject: FW: URGENT: Please Review Riverside Industrial Park PRAP Press Release

Attorney – Client

Privileged - Confidential

BTW- who drafted this? Not going to touch Pete's quote and I would have to go back to confirm but pretty sure it was one (a) "pipe" but perhaps one pipe connected to another pipe – so maybe pipes is accurate?

From: Reilly, WilliamJ

Sent: Wednesday, July 22, 2020 11:05 AM **To:** Flanagan, Sarah@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: URGENT: Please Review Riverside Industrial Park PRAP Press Release

Ex. 5 AC/DP

From: Flanagan, Sarah <Flanagan.Sarah@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, July 22, 2020 10:59 AM

To: Reilly, WilliamJ < Reilly, WilliamJ@epa.gov">Reilly, WilliamJ@epa.gov ; Fischer, Douglas < Fischer, Douglas Fischer.Douglas@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: URGENT: Please Review Riverside Industrial Park PRAP Press Release

Here are my suggestions. Ex. 5 AC/DP

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Beyond that, I didn't really go back to the PRAP; I'm hoping the details of the preferred alternative are correct!

From: Reilly, WilliamJ < Reilly. WilliamJ@epa.gov > Sent: Wednesday, July 22, 2020 10:30 AM

To: Fischer, Douglas < Fischer, Douglas@epa.gov > **Cc:** Flanagan, Sarah < Flanagan, Sarah@epa.gov >

Subject: FW: URGENT: Please Review Riverside Industrial Park PRAP Press Release

Importance: High

Who drafted this? 1909 the dates are all off – constructed in 1902, began operations in 1903 reclaimed from the Passiac in the late 1800s – I do not like being rushed to review this doc in 30 minutes while on a call but I will do the best I can. Let me know if you all have any comments. Thanks

From: Reilly, WilliamJ

Sent: Wednesday, July 22, 2020 10:26 AM **To:** Fischer, Douglas < Fischer. Douglas@epa.gov > **Cc:** Flanagan, Sarah < Flanagan. Sarah@epa.gov >

Subject: FW: URGENT: Please Review Riverside Industrial Park PRAP Press Release

Importance: High

FYI— Just opened this and starting to look at now and have a call at 10:30am but I will review as best I can during that timeframe.

From: Mohabir, Sonia < Mohabir. Sonia@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, July 22, 2020 10:02 AM

To: Reilly, WilliamJ < Reilly, WilliamJ@epa.gov >
Cc: Flanagan, Sarah@epa.gov >

Subject: URGENT: Please Review Riverside Industrial Park PRAP Press Release

Importance: High

Good Morning William,

Please review the attached press release on the Riverside Industrial Park PRAP by 11:00am today. The release has gone through the concurrence process and has been approved by HQ to be issued at 12:00pm today.

I apologize for the quick turnaround and would appreciate your review since the PRP, PPG Industries, is mentioned.

Thank you,

Sonia Mohabir

Public Information Officer

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 Public Affairs Office 290 Broadway – 26th Floor New York, NY 10007 (212) 637-3241 mohabir.sonia@epa.gov









